

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,345	12/14/2001	Haruo Furuta	217208US2	1156	
22850 7	590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPI	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	OWENS, DOUGLAS W			
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1122711110111	, 22511		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ALV.			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/014,34	1 5	FURUTA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Douglas V		2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no every cation. ays, a reply within the state ony period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply b utory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS I lication to become ABANDO	be timely filed days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this con ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>21 May 2004</i> .						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 5-9 and 11-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5,7-9,11 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 6 and 12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)[0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date			nal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5 and 7 9, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 6,417,037 to Feng in view of US patent No. 6,383,877 to Ahn et al.

Regarding claims 5 and 7 – 9, Feng teaches a semiconductor device (Fig. 6) comprising:

an isolation film (12) in a surface of a semiconductor substrate (10);

first and second transistors (24, 22) on first and second active regions defined by and in direct contact with the isolation film;

the first transistor having a first gate insulating film (16) with a first thickness; and the second transistor having a second gate insulating film (14) with a second thickness, wherein the first thickness is greater than the second thickness.

Feng does not teach a semiconductor device, wherein the isolation film has a first and second recessed portion in an edge portion on the side of the active region, wherein the depth of the recessed portion is defined as a vertical height between a main surface of the first active region and a deepest part of the recessed portion, not being less than 10 nm. Ahn et al. teach a semiconductor device (Figs. 16 – 21, for example),

Page 3

wherein the isolation film has a first and second recessed portion (T2) in an edge portion on the side of the active region, wherein the depth of the recessed portion is defined as a vertical height between a main surface of the first active region and a deepest part of the recessed portion, not being less than 10 nm (Col. 9, lines 3-7; Col. 10, lines 6-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ahn et al. into the device taught by Feng, since it is desirable to prevent voids from forming in the isolation layer (Col. 3, lines 60-63). If the proposed modification had been made, it can be seen that the active regions would be in direct contact with the isolation film (71), as shown by Ahn et al.

The isolation film and recessed area would have inherently been disposed around the active region since the purpose of the isolation film is to isolate the active area.

Regarding claims 11 and 13, Feng does not explicitly teach a first transistor that forms an I/O circuit and the second transistor forms an analog circuit. Feng teaches a device, wherein the first transistor is ideal for use in an I/O circuit and a second transistor that is ideal for use in an analog circuit since the first transistor has a thick gate oxide and the second transistor has a relatively thin gate oxide. It would have been obvious to use the device for the purpose it is designed. Moreover, this is considered a suggested use limitation and is not given any patentable weight.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 - 9 and 11 - 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,345 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DWO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

THE PART NOV CENTER 2800